UNITED STATES DISTRICE EASTERN DISTRICT OF I	NEW YORK	V
D & K (ASIA) LIMITED,	Plaintiff,	ORDER CV 10-1107 (LDW)(ARL)
-against-		
INNOVATION BARGAIN	S, LLC,	
	Defendant.	V.
"Firm"), counsel for the defe ("defendant"), for leave to w Local Civil Rule 1.4 of the I	ne motion of the lendant Innovation withdraw as attorn Local Civil Rules ets of New York.	w firm of Goodman & Leopold, L.L.P. (the Bargains, LLC, d/b/a Ipack Solution by of record for defendant in this matter pursuant to of the United States District Courts for the Plaintiff and defendant have not made a response
briefing schedule agreed upo joint proposed pretrial order counsel for each party, prior 11:00 a.m. Given that (i) M	on by counsel for in compliance w to the final confe r. Leopold of the	icates that discovery is complete. Pursuant to the the parties, the parties are to electronically file a th the district judge's individual rules, signed by rence which is scheduled for August 18, 2011 at Firm has conducted the discovery and depositions the the underlying facts of the case and is therefore

in the best position to prepare the defendant's portion of the proposed pretrial order; and (ii) any communication with his client would be of limited value in preparing the pretrial order, the court denies the within application to withdraw as counsel at this time without prejudice to a renewal

SO ORDERED:

_____/s/_ ARLENE ROSARIO LINDSAY United States Magistrate Judge

thereof upon the filing of the proposed pretrial order.

Dated: Central Islip, New York

July 26, 2011